

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC., *et al.***

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:11cv492**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**AVAYA, INC.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv70**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**DELL, INC.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv71**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv72**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO.,  
LTD., *et al.***

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv73**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv74**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**POLYCOM, INC.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv76**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv77**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**SHORETEL, INC.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv78**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**SONY CORPORATION, *et al.***

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv79**

\*\*\*\*\*

**NETWORK-1 SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**v.**

**AXIS COMMUNICATIONS AB, *et al.***

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 6:13cv80**

### **ORDER**

Plaintiff initially filed Civil Action No. 6:11-CV-492 against twenty-six defendants alleging that certain of their products infringe US Patent No. 6,218,930. The Court entered an Amended Order on January 17, 2013, severing the remaining defendants in the case into the above-referenced separate Civil Action Nos. and consolidating them for pretrial issues, except venue. Subsequently, on March 5, 2013, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review. The Order directed the Clerk to administratively close the case. As these cases are consolidated, the Order was entered only in the lead case. The administrative closure, however, applies to each of the individual cases consolidated into the lead case. It is therefore

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall administratively close each of the above-referenced individual cases pursuant to the Order entered in the lead case on March 5, 2013 (Docket No. 410).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 30th day of December, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
K. NICOLE MITCHELL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE